

# Math 362: Mathematical Statistics II

Le Chen

le.chen@emory.edu

Emory University  
Atlanta, GA

Last updated on February 25, 2020

2020 Spring

## Chapter 6. Hypothesis Testing

## Chapter 6. Hypothesis Testing

### § 6.5 A Notion of Optimality: The Generalized Likelihood Ratio

## § 6.5 A Notion of Optimality: The Generalized Likelihood Ratio

Question:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| ▶ Vector parameter   | Scale parameter   |
| ▶ Composite-vs-composite test  | Simple-vs-composite test                                  |
| $H_0 : \theta \in \omega$ vs $H_1 : \theta \in \Omega \cap \omega^c$ | $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ |

E.g. Two normal populations  $N(\mu_i, \sigma_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\sigma_i$  are known,  $\mu_i$  unknown.

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2.$$

- ▶ Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from  $f_Y(y; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$
- ▶ Let  $\Omega$  be all possible values of the parameter vector  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$
- ▶ Let  $\omega \subseteq \Omega$  be a subset of  $\Omega$ .

- ▶ Test:

$$H_0 : \theta \in \omega \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \theta \in \Omega \setminus \omega.$$

- ▶ The **generalized likelihood ratio**,  $\lambda$ , is defined as

$$\lambda := \frac{\max_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \omega} L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)}{\max_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \Omega} L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)}$$

▶  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ :

$\lambda$  close to one  $\rightarrow$  data are compatible with  $H_0 \rightarrow$  accept  $H_0$

$\lambda$  close to zero  $\rightarrow$  data are NOT compatible with  $H_0 \rightarrow$  reject  $H_0$

▶ **Generalized likelihood ratio test (GLRT)**: Use the following critical region

$$C = \{\lambda : \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*]\}$$

to reject  $H_0$  with either  $\alpha$  or  $y^*$  being determined through

$$\alpha = \mathbb{P} \left( 0 < \Lambda \leq \lambda^* \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right).$$

Remarks:

1. Maximization over  $\Omega$  instead of  $\Omega \setminus \omega$  in denominator:

In practice, little effect on this change.

In theory, much easier/nicer:  $L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$  is maximized over the whole space  $\Omega$  by the max. likelihood estimates:  $\Omega_e := (\theta_{e,1}, \dots, \theta_{e,k}) \in \Omega$ .

2. Suppose the maximization over  $\omega$  is achieved at  $\omega_e \in \omega$ .

3. Hence:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)}.$$

Remarks;

4. For simple-vs-composite test,  $\omega = \{\omega_0\}$  consists only one point:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_0)}{L(\Omega_e)}.$$

5. Working with  $\Lambda$  is hard since  $f_\Lambda(\lambda|H_0)$  is hard to obtain.

If  $\Lambda$  is a (*monotonic*) *function* of some r.v.  $W$ , whose pdf is known.

### **Suggesting testing procedure**

Test based on  $\lambda \iff$  Test based on  $w$ .

E.g. 1 Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be a random sample of size  $n$  from the uniform pdf:  
 $f_Y(y : \theta) = 1/\theta, y \in [0, \theta]$ . Find the form of GLRT for

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \quad \text{v.s.} \quad H_1 : \theta < \theta_0 \quad \text{with given } \alpha.$$

Sol. 1) Simple null hypothesis test  $\implies$

$$L(\omega_e) = L(\theta_0) = \theta_0^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(y_i) = \theta^{-n} I_{[0, \theta_0]}(y_{max}).$$

2) MLE is  $Y_{max} \implies$

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(y_{max}) = y_{max}^{-n} I_{[0, y_{max}]}(y_{max}) = y_{max}^{-n}.$$

3) Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = \left( \frac{y_{max}}{\theta_0} \right)^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(y_{max})$$

that is, the test statistic is

$$\Lambda = \left( \frac{Y_{max}}{\theta_0} \right)^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(Y_{max}).$$

4)  $\alpha$  and critical value  $\lambda^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \mathbb{P}(0 < \Lambda \leq \lambda^* | H_0 \text{ is true}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left( \left[ \frac{Y_{max}}{\theta_0} \right]^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(Y_{max}) \leq \lambda^* \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left( Y_{max} \leq \theta_0 (\lambda^*)^{1/n} \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\Lambda$  suggests the test statistic  $Y_{max}$ :

Test based on  $\lambda \iff$  Test based of  $y_{max}$

5) Let's find the pdf of  $Y_{max}$ . The cdf of  $Y$  is  $F_Y(y; \theta_0) = y/\theta_0$  for  $y \in [0, \theta_0]$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_{max}}(y; \theta_0) &= nF_Y(y; \theta_0)^{n-1} f_Y(y; \theta_0) \\ &= \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta_0^n}, \quad y \in [0, \theta_0]. \end{aligned}$$

6) Finally, by setting  $y^* := \theta_0(\lambda^*)^{1/n}$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \mathbb{P} \left( Y_{max} \leq y^* \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right) \\ &= \int_0^{y^*} \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta_0^n} dy \\ &= \frac{(y^*)^n}{\theta_0^n} \iff y^* = \theta_0 \alpha^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

7) Therefore,  $H_0$  is rejected if

$$y_{max} \leq \theta_0 \alpha^{1/n}.$$

E.g. 2 Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from the geometric distribution with parameter  $p$ .

Find a test statistic  $\Lambda$  for testing  $H_0 : p = p_0$  versus  $H_1 : p \neq p_0$ .

Sol. Since the null hypothesis is simple, we have that

$$L(\omega_e) = L(p_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p_0)^{k_i - 1} p_0 = (1 - p_0)^{n\bar{k} - n} p_0^n,$$

which shows that  $\bar{k}$  is a sufficient estimator.

On the other hand, the MLE for geometric distribution is  $1/\bar{k}$ . So

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(1/\bar{k}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{k}}\right)^{k_i - 1} \frac{1}{\bar{k}} = \left(\frac{\bar{k} - 1}{\bar{k}}\right)^{n\bar{k} - n} \frac{1}{\bar{k}^n}.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = \left(\frac{\bar{k}(1 - p_0)}{\bar{k} - 1}\right)^{n\bar{k} - n} (p_0 \bar{k})^n$$

Finally,  $\Lambda = \left(\frac{\bar{X}(1 - p_0)}{\bar{X} - 1}\right)^{n\bar{X} - n} (p_0 \bar{X})^n$ .

□

E.g. 3 Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be a random sample from the exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ .

Find a test statistic  $V$  for testing  $H_0 : \lambda = \lambda_0$  versus  $H_1 : \lambda \neq \lambda_0$ .

Sol. Since the null hypothesis is simple,

$$L(\omega_e) = L(\lambda_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 y_i} = \lambda_0^n e^{-\lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

Let  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$ , which is a sufficient estimator.

On the other hand, the MLE for  $\lambda$  is  $1/\bar{y} = n/z$ :

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(1/\bar{y}) = (n/z)^n e^{-n}.$$

Hence,

$$v = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = z^n n^{-n} \lambda_0^n e^{-\lambda_0 z + n}$$

Finally,  $V = Z^n n^{-n} \lambda_0^n e^{-\lambda_0 Z + n}$  or  $V = Z^n e^{-\lambda_0 Z} \dots$  □

E.g. 4 Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be a random sample from  $N(\mu, 1)$ .

Find a test statistic  $\Lambda$  for testing  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

Sol. Since the null hypothesis is simple,

$$L(\omega_e) = L(\mu_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - \mu_0)^2}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, the MLE for  $\mu$  is  $\bar{y}$ :

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(\bar{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - \bar{y})^2}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_0)^2 - (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{2}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{n(\bar{y} - \mu_0)^2}{2}\right).$$

$$\text{Finally, } \Lambda = \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} (\bar{Y} - \mu_0)^2\right) \quad \text{or} \quad V = \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_0}{1/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1) \quad \square$$