### 3.5 An Application to Systems of Differential Equations

A function $f$ of a real variable is said to be differentiable if its derivative exists and, in this case, we let $f^{\prime}$ denote the derivative. If $f$ and $g$ are differentiable functions, a system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime}=3 f+5 g \\
& g^{\prime}=-f+2 g
\end{aligned}
$$

is called a system of first order differential equations, or a differential system for short. Solving many practical problems often comes down to finding sets of functions that satisfy such a system (often involving more than two functions). In this section we show how diagonalization can help. Of course an acquaintance with calculus is required.

## The Exponential Function

The simplest differential system is the following single equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}=a f \text { where } a \text { is constant } \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified that $f(x)=e^{a x}$ is one solution; in fact, Equation 3.14 is simple enough for us to find all solutions. Suppose that $f$ is any solution, so that $f^{\prime}(x)=a f(x)$ for all $x$. Consider the new function $g$ given by $g(x)=f(x) e^{-a x}$. Then the product rule of differentiation gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x) & =f(x)\left[-a e^{-a x}\right]+f^{\prime}(x) e^{-a x} \\
& =-a f(x) e^{-a x}+[a f(x)] e^{-a x} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x$. Hence the function $g(x)$ has zero derivative and so must be a constant, say $g(x)=c$. Thus $c=g(x)=f(x) e^{-a x}$, that is

$$
f(x)=c e^{a x}
$$

In other words, every solution $f(x)$ of Equation 3.14 is just a scalar multiple of $e^{a x}$. Since every such scalar multiple is easily seen to be a solution of Equation 3.14, we have proved

## Theorem 3.5.1

The set of solutions to $f^{\prime}=a f$ is $\left\{c e^{a x} \mid c\right.$ any constant $\}=\mathbb{R} e^{a x}$.

Remarkably, this result together with diagonalization enables us to solve a wide variety of differential systems.

## Example 3.5.1

Assume that the number $n(t)$ of bacteria in a culture at time $t$ has the property that the rate of change of $n$ is proportional to $n$ itself. If there are $n_{0}$ bacteria present when $t=0$, find the number at time $t$.

Solution. Let $k$ denote the proportionality constant. The rate of change of $n(t)$ is its time-derivative $n^{\prime}(t)$, so the given relationship is $n^{\prime}(t)=k n(t)$. Thus Theorem 3.5.1 shows that all solutions $n$ are given by $n(t)=c e^{k t}$, where $c$ is a constant. In this case, the constant $c$ is determined by the requirement that there be $n_{0}$ bacteria present when $t=0$. Hence $n_{0}=n(0)=c e^{k 0}=c$, so

$$
n(t)=n_{0} e^{k t}
$$

gives the number at time $t$. Of course the constant $k$ depends on the strain of bacteria.

The condition that $n(0)=n_{0}$ in Example 3.5.1 is called an initial condition or a boundary condition and serves to select one solution from the available solutions.

## General Differential Systems

Solving a variety of problems, particularly in science and engineering, comes down to solving a system of linear differential equations. Diagonalization enters into this as follows. The general problem is to find differentiable functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ that satisfy a system of equations of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}^{\prime}=a_{11} f_{1}+a_{12} f_{2}+\cdots+a_{1 n} f_{n} \\
f_{2}^{\prime}=a_{21} f_{1}+a_{22} f_{2}+\cdots+a_{2 n} f_{n} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{gathered} \vdots \vdots \quad \vdots \quad . \quad a_{n 2} f_{1}+a_{n 2} f_{2}+\cdots+a_{n n} f_{n}
$$

where the $a_{i j}$ are constants. This is called a linear system of differential equations or simply a differential system. The first step is to put it in matrix form. Write

$$
\mathbf{f}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1} \\
f_{2} \\
\vdots \\
f_{n}
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{f}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
f_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \quad A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{n 1} & a_{n 2} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then the system can be written compactly using matrix multiplication:

$$
\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}
$$

Hence, given the matrix $A$, the problem is to find a column $\mathbf{f}$ of differentiable functions that satisfies this condition. This can be done if $A$ is diagonalizable. Here is an example.

## Example 3.5.2

Find a solution to the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{\prime}=f_{1}+3 f_{2} \\
& f_{2}^{\prime}=2 f_{1}+2 f_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

that satisfies $f_{1}(0)=0, f_{2}(0)=5$.
Solution. This is $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}$, where $\mathbf{f}=\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1} \\ f_{2}\end{array}\right]$ and $A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3 \\ 2 & 2\end{array}\right]$. The reader can verify that $c_{A}(x)=(x-4)(x+1)$, and that $\mathbf{x}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ and $\mathbf{x}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{c}3 \\ -2\end{array}\right]$ are eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues 4 and -1 , respectively. Hence the diagonalization algorithm gives $P^{-1} A P=\left[\begin{array}{rr}4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right]$, where $P=\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}1 & 3 \\ 1 & -2\end{array}\right]$. Now consider new functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ given by $\mathbf{f}=P \mathbf{g}$ (equivalently, $\mathbf{g}=P^{-1} \mathbf{f}$ ), where $\mathbf{g}=\left[\begin{array}{l}g_{1} \\ g_{2}\end{array}\right]$ Then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{1} \\
f_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 3 \\
1 & -2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
g_{1} \\
g_{2}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { that is, } \begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=g_{1}+3 g_{2} \\
& f_{2}=g_{1}-2 g_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $f_{1}^{\prime}=g_{1}^{\prime}+3 g_{2}^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}^{\prime}=g_{1}^{\prime}-2 g_{2}^{\prime}$ so that

$$
\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}^{\prime} \\
f_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 3 \\
1 & -2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
g_{1}^{\prime} \\
g_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=P \mathbf{g}^{\prime}
$$

If this is substituted in $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}$, the result is $P \mathbf{g}^{\prime}=A P \mathbf{g}$, whence

$$
\mathbf{g}^{\prime}=P^{-1} A P \mathbf{g}
$$

But this means that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
g_{1}^{\prime} \\
g_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
4 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
g_{1} \\
g_{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { so } \begin{aligned}
& g_{1}^{\prime}=4 g_{1} \\
& g_{2}^{\prime}=-g_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence Theorem 3.5.1 gives $g_{1}(x)=c e^{4 x}, g_{2}(x)=d e^{-x}$, where $c$ and $d$ are constants. Finally, then,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}(x) \\
f_{2}(x)
\end{array}\right]=P\left[\begin{array}{l}
g_{1}(x) \\
g_{2}(x)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 3 \\
1 & -2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c e^{4 x} \\
d e^{-x}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
c e^{4 x}+3 d e^{-x} \\
c e^{4 x}-2 d e^{-x}
\end{array}\right]
$$

so the general solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=c e^{4 x}+3 d e^{-x} \\
& f_{2}(x)=c e^{4 x}-2 d e^{-x} \quad c \text { and } d \text { constants }
\end{aligned}
$$

It is worth observing that this can be written in matrix form as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
f_{1}(x) \\
f_{2}(x)
\end{array}\right]=c\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right] e^{4 x}+d\left[\begin{array}{r}
3 \\
-2
\end{array}\right] e^{-x}
$$

That is,

$$
\mathbf{f}(x)=c \mathbf{x}_{1} e^{4 x}+d \mathbf{x}_{2} e^{-x}
$$

This form of the solution works more generally, as will be shown.
Finally, the requirement that $f_{1}(0)=0$ and $f_{2}(0)=5$ in this example determines the constants $c$ and $d$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=f_{1}(0)=c e^{0}+3 d e^{0}=c+3 d \\
& 5=f_{2}(0)=c e^{0}-2 d e^{0}=c-2 d
\end{aligned}
$$

These equations give $c=3$ and $d=-1$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=3 e^{4 x}-3 e^{-x} \\
& f_{2}(x)=3 e^{4 x}+2 e^{-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfy all the requirements.

The technique in this example works in general.

## Theorem 3.5.2

Consider a linear system

$$
\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}=A \boldsymbol{f}
$$

of differential equations, where $A$ is an $n \times n$ diagonalizable matrix. Let $P^{-1} A P$ be diagonal, where $P$ is given in terms of its columns

$$
P=\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right]
$$

and $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right\}$ are eigenvectors of $A$. If $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ corresponds to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ for each $i$, then every solution $\boldsymbol{f}$ of $\boldsymbol{f}^{\prime}=A \boldsymbol{f}$ has the form

$$
\boldsymbol{f}(x)=c_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} x}+c_{2} \mathbf{x}_{2} e^{\lambda_{2} x}+\cdots+c_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n} e^{\lambda_{n} x}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ are arbitrary constants.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3.4, the matrix $P=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\mathbf{x}_{1} & \mathbf{x}_{2} & \ldots & \mathbf{x}_{n}\end{array}\right]$ is invertible and

$$
P^{-1} A P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

As in Example 3.5.2, write $\mathbf{f}=\left[\begin{array}{c}f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ \vdots \\ f_{n}\end{array}\right]$ and define $\mathbf{g}=\left[\begin{array}{c}g_{1} \\ g_{2} \\ \vdots \\ g_{n}\end{array}\right]$ by $\mathbf{g}=P^{-1} \mathbf{f}$; equivalently, $\mathbf{f}=P \mathbf{g}$. If $P=\left[p_{i j}\right]$, this gives

$$
f_{i}=p_{i 1} g_{1}+p_{i 2} g_{2}+\cdots+p_{i n} g_{n}
$$

Since the $p_{i j}$ are constants, differentiation preserves this relationship:

$$
f_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i 1} g_{1}^{\prime}+p_{i 2} g_{2}^{\prime}+\cdots+p_{i n} g_{n}^{\prime}
$$

so $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=P \mathbf{g}^{\prime}$. Substituting this into $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}$ gives $P \mathbf{g}^{\prime}=A P \mathbf{g}$. But then left multiplication by $P^{-1}$ gives $\mathbf{g}^{\prime}=P^{-1} A P \mathbf{g}$, so the original system of equations $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}$ for $\mathbf{f}$ becomes much simpler in terms of $\mathbf{g}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{1}^{\prime} \\
g_{2}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
g_{n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{1} \\
g_{2} \\
\vdots \\
g_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence $g_{i}^{\prime}=\lambda_{i} g_{i}$ holds for each $i$, and Theorem 3.5.1 implies that the only solutions are

$$
g_{i}(x)=c_{i} e^{\lambda_{i} x} \quad c_{i} \text { some constant }
$$

Then the relationship $\mathbf{f}=P \mathbf{g}$ gives the functions $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ as follows:

$$
\mathbf{f}(x)=\left[\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{n}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} x} \\
c_{2} e^{\lambda_{2} x} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n} e^{\lambda_{n} x}
\end{array}\right]=c_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} x}+c_{2} \mathbf{x}_{2} e^{\lambda_{2} x}+\cdots+c_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n} e^{\lambda_{n} x}
$$

This is what we wanted.
The theorem shows that every solution to $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}$ is a linear combination

$$
\mathbf{f}(x)=c_{1} \mathbf{x}_{1} e^{\lambda_{1} x}+c_{2} \mathbf{x}_{2} e^{\lambda_{2} x}+\cdots+c_{n} \mathbf{x}_{n} e^{\lambda_{n} x}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{i}$ are arbitrary. Hence this is called the general solution to the system of differential equations. In most cases the solution functions $f_{i}(x)$ are required to satisfy boundary conditions, often of the form $f_{i}(a)=b_{i}$, where $a, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are prescribed numbers. These conditions determine the constants $c_{i}$. The following example illustrates this and displays a situation where one eigenvalue has multiplicity greater than 1 .

## Example 3.5.3

Find the general solution to the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}^{\prime}=5 f_{1}+8 f_{2}+16 f_{3} \\
& f_{2}^{\prime}=4 f_{1}+f_{2}+8 f_{3} \\
& f_{3}^{\prime}=-4 f_{1}-4 f_{2}-11 f_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then find a solution satisfying the boundary conditions $f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=f_{3}(0)=1$.
Solution. The system has the form $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}$, where $A=\left[\begin{array}{rrr}5 & 8 & 16 \\ 4 & 1 & 8 \\ -4 & -4 & -11\end{array}\right]$. In this case $c_{A}(x)=(x+3)^{2}(x-1)$ and eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $-3,-3$, and 1 are,
respectively,

$$
\mathbf{x}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{x}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
-2 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \quad \mathbf{x}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{r}
2 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.5.2, the general solution is

$$
\mathbf{f}(x)=c_{1}\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right] e^{-3 x}+c_{2}\left[\begin{array}{r}
-2 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right] e^{-3 x}+c_{3}\left[\begin{array}{r}
2 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] e^{x}, \quad c_{i} \text { constants. }
$$

The boundary conditions $f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=f_{3}(0)=1$ determine the constants $c_{i}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{f}(0) } & =c_{1}\left[\begin{array}{r}
-1 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]+c_{2}\left[\begin{array}{r}
-2 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]+c_{3}\left[\begin{array}{r}
2 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{rrr}
-1 & -2 & 2 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
c_{3}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution is $c_{1}=-3, c_{2}=5, c_{3}=4$, so the required specific solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=-7 e^{-3 x}+8 e^{x} \\
& f_{2}(x)=-3 e^{-3 x}+4 e^{x} \\
& f_{3}(x)=5 e^{-3 x}-4 e^{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exercises for 3.5

Exercise 3.5.1 Use Theorem 3.5.1 to find the general solution to each of the following systems. Then find a specific solution satisfying the given boundary condition.
a. $f_{1}^{\prime}=2 f_{1}+4 f_{2}, f_{1}(0)=0$ $f_{2}^{\prime}=3 f_{1}+3 f_{2}, f_{2}(0)=1$
b. $f_{1}^{\prime}=-f_{1}+5 f_{2}, f_{1}(0)=1$
$f_{2}^{\prime}=f_{1}+3 f_{2}, f_{2}(0)=-1$
c. $f_{1}^{\prime}=4 f_{2}+4 f_{3}$
$f_{2}^{\prime}=f_{1}+f_{2}-2 f_{3}$
$f_{3}^{\prime}=-f_{1}+f_{2}+4 f_{3}$
$f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=f_{3}(0)=1$
d. $f_{1}^{\prime}=2 f_{1}+f_{2}+2 f_{3}$
$f_{2}^{\prime}=2 f_{1}+2 f_{2}-2 f_{3}$
$f_{3}^{\prime}=3 f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}$
$f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=f_{3}(0)=1$

Exercise 3.5.2 Show that the solution to $f^{\prime}=a f$ satisfying $f\left(x_{0}\right)=k$ is $f(x)=k e^{a\left(x-x_{0}\right)}$.

Exercise 3.5.3 A radioactive element decays at a rate proportional to the amount present. Suppose an initial mass of 10 g decays to 8 g in 3 hours.
a. Find the mass $t$ hours later.
b. Find the half-life of the element-the time taken to decay to half its mass.

Exercise 3.5.4 The population $N(t)$ of a region at time $t$ increases at a rate proportional to the population. If the population doubles every 5 years and is 3 million initially, find $N(t)$.

Exercise 3.5.5 Let $A$ be an invertible diagonalizable $n \times n$ matrix and let $\mathbf{b}$ be an $n$-column of constant functions. We can solve the system $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}+\mathbf{b}$ as follows:
a. If $\mathbf{g}$ satisfies $\mathbf{g}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{g}$ (using Theorem 3.5.2), show that $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{g}-A^{-1} \mathbf{b}$ is a solution to $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}+\mathbf{b}$.
b. Show that every solution to $\mathbf{f}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{f}+\mathbf{b}$ arises as in (a) for some solution $\mathbf{g}$ to $\mathbf{g}^{\prime}=A \mathbf{g}$.

Exercise 3.5.6 Denote the second derivative of $f$ by $f^{\prime \prime}=\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Consider the second order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}-a_{1} f^{\prime}-a_{2} f=0, \quad a_{1} \text { and } a_{2} \text { real numbers } \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

a. If $f$ is a solution to Equation 3.15 let $f_{1}=f$ and $f_{2}=f^{\prime}-a_{1} f$. Show that
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}f_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1} f_{1}+f_{2} \\ f_{2}^{\prime}=a_{2} f_{1}\end{array}\right.$,
that is $\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1}^{\prime} \\ f_{2}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{1} & 1 \\ a_{2} & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1} \\ f_{2}\end{array}\right]$
b. Conversely, if $\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1} \\ f_{2}\end{array}\right]$ is a solution to the system in (a), show that $f_{1}$ is a solution to Equation 3.15.

Exercise 3.5.7 Writing $f^{\prime \prime \prime}=\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime}$, consider the third order differential equation

$$
f^{\prime \prime \prime}-a_{1} f^{\prime \prime}-a_{2} f^{\prime}-a_{3} f=0
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$, and $a_{3}$ are real numbers. Let $f_{1}=f, f_{2}=f^{\prime}-a_{1} f$ and $f_{3}=f^{\prime \prime}-a_{1} f^{\prime}-a_{2} f^{\prime \prime}$.
a. Show that $\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3}\end{array}\right]$ is a solution to the system
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}f_{1}^{\prime}=a_{1} f_{1}+f_{2} \\ f_{2}^{\prime}=a_{2} f_{1}+f_{3}, \\ f_{3}^{\prime}=a_{3} f_{1}\end{array}\right.$
that is $\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1}^{\prime} \\ f_{2}^{\prime} \\ f_{3}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}a_{1} & 1 & 0 \\ a_{2} & 0 & 1 \\ a_{3} & 0 & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3}\end{array}\right]$
b. Show further that if $\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1} \\ f_{2} \\ f_{3}\end{array}\right]$ is any solution to this system, then $f=f_{1}$ is a solution to Equation 3.15.

Remark. A similar construction casts every linear differential equation of order $n$ (with constant coefficients) as an $n \times n$ linear system of first order equations. However, the matrix need not be diagonalizable, so other methods have been developed.

### 3.6 Proof of the Cofactor Expansion Theorem

Recall that our definition of the term determinant is inductive: The determinant of any $1 \times 1$ matrix is defined first; then it is used to define the determinants of $2 \times 2$ matrices. Then that is used for the $3 \times 3$ case, and so on. The case of a $1 \times 1$ matrix [a] poses no problem. We simply define

$$
\operatorname{det}[a]=a
$$

as in Section 3.1. Given an $n \times n$ matrix $A$, define $A_{i j}$ to be the $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ matrix obtained from $A$ by deleting row $i$ and column $j$. Now assume that the determinant of any $(n-1) \times(n-1)$ matrix has been defined. Then the determinant of $A$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} A & =a_{11} \operatorname{det} A_{11}-a_{21} \operatorname{det} A_{21}+\cdots+(-1)^{n+1} a_{n 1} \operatorname{det} A_{n 1} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{i+1} a_{i 1} \operatorname{det} A_{i 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

