Math 221: LINEAR ALGEBRA

Chapter 7. Linear Transformations
§7-2. Kernel and Image

Le Chen[1]

Emory University, 2021 Spring

[1] Slides are adapted from those by Karen Seyffarth from University of Calgary.

What are the Kernel and the Image?

Definition

Let V and W be vector spaces, and $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation.

1. The **kernel** of T (sometimes called the null space of T) is defined to be the set

$$\ker(T) = \{\vec{v} \in V \mid T(\vec{v}) = \vec{0}\}.$$

2. The **image** of T is defined to be the set

$$\operatorname{im}(T) = \{T(\vec{v}) \mid \vec{v} \in V\}.$$

Remark

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix and $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is the linear transformation induced by A, then

- $\ker(T_A) = \text{null}(A)$;
- $\text{im}(T_A) = \text{im}(A)$.

Let $T : \mathcal{P}_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be the linear transformation defined by

$$T(p(x)) = p(1) \quad \text{for all } p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_1.$$

Find $\ker(T)$ and $\text{im}(T)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ker(T) &= \{p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_1 \mid p(1) = 0\} \\
&= \{ax + b \mid \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad a + b = 0\} \\
&= \{ax - a \mid \forall a \in \mathbb{R}\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text{im}(T) &= \{p(1) \mid p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_1\} \\
&= \{a + b \mid ax + b \in \mathcal{P}_1\} \\
&= \{a + b \mid \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \\
&= \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation. Then $\ker(T)$ is a subspace of V and $\operatorname{im}(T)$ is a subspace of W.

Proof. (that $\ker(T)$ is a subspace of V)

1. Let $\vec{0}_V$ and $\vec{0}_W$ denote the zero vectors of V and W, respectively. T is a linear transformation $\Rightarrow T(\vec{0}_V) = \vec{0}_W \Rightarrow \vec{0}_V \in \ker(T)$.

2. Let $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in \ker(T)$. Then $T(\vec{v}_1) = \vec{0}$, $T(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{0}$, and

$$T(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2) = T(\vec{v}_1) + T(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{0} + \vec{0} = \vec{0}.$$

Thus $\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 \in \ker(T)$.

3. Let $\vec{v}_1 \in \ker(T)$ and let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $T(\vec{v}_1) = \vec{0}$, and

$$T(k\vec{v}_1) = kT(\vec{v}_1) = k(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}.$$

Thus $k\vec{v}_1 \in \ker(T)$.

By the Subspace Test, $\ker(T)$ is a subspace of V. ■

Proof. (that im(T) is a subspace of W)

1. Let $\vec{0}_V$ and $\vec{0}_W$ denote the zero vectors of V and W, respectively.
   T is a linear transformation $\Rightarrow T(\vec{0}_V) = \vec{0}_W \Rightarrow \vec{0}_W \in im(T)$.

2. Let $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2 \in im(T)$. Then there exist $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2 \in V$ such that $T(\vec{v}_1) = \vec{w}_1$, $T(\vec{v}_2) = \vec{w}_2$, and thus

$$\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 = T(\vec{v}_1) + T(\vec{v}_2) = T(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2).$$

   Since $\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2 \in V$, $\vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2 \in im(T)$.

3. Let $\vec{w}_1 \in im(V)$ and let $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists $\vec{v}_1 \in V$ such that $T(\vec{v}_1) = \vec{w}_1$, and

$$k\vec{w}_1 = kT(\vec{v}_1) = T(k\vec{v}_1).$$

   Since $k\vec{v}_1 \in V$, $k\vec{w}_1 \in im(T)$.

By the Subspace Test, im(T) is a subspace of W. ∎

Definition

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ a linear transformation.

1. The dimension of ker(T), dim(ker(T)) is called the nullity of T and is denoted nullity(T), i.e.,

$$\text{nullity}(T) = \dim(\ker(T)).$$

2. The dimension of im(T), dim(im(T)) is called the rank of T and is denoted rank (T), i.e.,

$$\text{rank}(T) = \dim(\text{im}(T)).$$

Example

If A is an m × n matrix, then $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a linear transformation and

$$\text{im}(T_A) = \text{im}(A) = \text{col}(A) \qquad\qquad \text{ker}(T_A) = \text{null}(A)$$

$$\Downarrow \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \Downarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } (T_A) &= \dim(\text{im}(T_A)) \\ &= \dim(\text{col}(A)) \\ &= \text{rank } (A) \\ &= \dim(\text{row}(A)) \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} \text{nullity}(T_A) &= \dim(\text{null}(A)) \\ &= \text{``\# of free parameters in } Ax = 0\text{''} \\ &= n - \text{rank } (A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$\boxed{\text{rank } (A) + \text{nullity}(T_A) = \dim(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Finding bases of the kernel and the image

Example (continued)

For the linear transformation T defined by $T : \mathcal{P}_1 \to \mathbb{R}$

$$T(p(x)) = p(1) \text{ for all } p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_1,$$

we found that

$$\ker(T) = \{ax - a \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{im}(T) = \mathbb{R}.$$

- $\ker(T) = \text{span}\{(x - 1)\}$ and $\dim(\ker(T)) = 1 = \text{nullity}(T)$.

- $\text{im}(T) = \text{span}\{1\}$ and $\dim(\text{im}(T)) = 1 = \text{rank } (T)$

- Hence,
$$\text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank } (T) = \dim(\mathcal{P}_1) = 2.$$

Let $T : \mathbf{M}_{22} \to \mathbf{M}_{22}$ be defined by

$$T \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+b & b+c \\ c+d & d+a \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{22}.$$

Then T is a linear transformation (you should be able to prove this). Find a basis of $\ker(T)$ and a basis of $\operatorname{im}(T)$.

Solution

Suppose $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \ker(T)$. Then

$$T \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a+b & b+c \\ c+d & d+a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This gives us a system of four equations in the four variables a, b, c, d:

$$\begin{cases} a+b = 0 \\ b+c = 0 \\ c+d = 0 \\ d+a = 0 \end{cases}$$

Solution (continued)

This system has solution $a = -t, b = t, c = -t, d = t$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and thus

$$\ker(T) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -t & t \\ -t & t \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \operatorname{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let

$$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Since B is an independent subset of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$ and $\operatorname{span}(B) = \ker(T)$, B is a basis of $\ker(T)$.

Solution (continued)

As for im(T), notice that

$$
\text{im(T)} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a+b & b+c \\ c+d & d+a \end{bmatrix} \,\middle|\, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}
$$

$$
= \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.
$$

Let

$$
S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.
$$

S is a dependent subset of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$, but (check this yourselves)

$$
C = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}
$$

is an independent subset of S. Since $\text{span}(C) = \text{span}(S) = \text{im(T)}$ and C is independent, C is a basis of im(T). ■

$$\dim(\mathbf{M}_{22}) = 4$$

$$\text{nullity(T)} = \dim(\ker(T)) = 1$$

$$\text{rank (T)} = \dim(\text{im}(T)) = 3$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\text{nullity(T)} + \text{rank (T)} = \dim(\mathbf{M}_{22})$$

Definition

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation.

1. T is *onto* (or surjective) if $\text{im}(T) = W$.
2. T is *one-to-one* (or injective) if,

$$T(\vec{v}) = T(\vec{w}) \quad \forall \vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \vec{v} = \vec{w}.$$

Example

Let V be a vector space. Then the identity operator on V, $1_V : V \to V$, is one-to-one and onto.

	surjective	non-surjective
injective	bijective	injective-only
non-injective	surjective-only	general

Theorem

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation. Then T is one-to-one if and only if $\ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$.

Proof.

($\Rightarrow$) Let $\vec{v} \in \ker(T)$. Then

$$T(\vec{v}) = \vec{0} = T(\vec{0}).$$

$$T \text{ is one-to-one} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{v} = \vec{0} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ker T = \{\vec{0}\}$$

($\Leftarrow$) Conversely, suppose that $\ker(T) = \{\vec{0}\}$, and let $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in V$ be such that

$$T(\vec{v}) = T(\vec{w}).$$

Then $T(\vec{v}) - T(\vec{w}) = \vec{0}$, and since T is a linear transformation

$$T(\vec{v} - \vec{w}) = \vec{0}.$$

By definition, $\vec{v} - \vec{w} \in \ker(T)$, implying that $\vec{v} - \vec{w} = \vec{0}$. Therefore $\vec{v} = \vec{w}$, and hence T is one-to-one. ∎

Let $T : \mathbf{M}_{22} \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be a linear transformation defined by

$$T \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + d \\ b + c \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{22}.$$

Prove that T is onto but not one-to-one.

Proof.

Let $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Since $T \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$, T is onto.

Observe that $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \in \ker(T)$, so $\ker(T) \neq \{\vec{0}_{22}\}$. By the previous Theorem, T is not one-to-one. ∎

Suppose U is an invertible m × m matrix and let $T : \mathbf{M}_{mn} \to \mathbf{M}_{mn}$ be defined by

$$T(A) = UA \text{ for all } A \in \mathbf{M}_{mn}.$$

Then T is a linear transformation (this is left to you to verify). Prove that T is one-to-one and onto.

Proof.

Suppose $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_{mn}$ and that $T(A) = T(B)$. Then $UA = UB$; since U is invertible

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{-1}(UA) &= U^{-1}(UB) \\
(U^{-1}U)A &= (U^{-1}U)B \\
I_{mm}A &= I_{mm}B \\
A &= B.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, T is one-to-one.

Proof. (continued)

To prove that T is onto, let $B \in \mathbf{M}_{mn}$ and let $A = U^{-1}B$. Then

$$T(A) = UA = U(U^{-1}B) = (UU^{-1})B = I_{mm}B = B,$$

and therefore T is onto. ■

Let $S : \mathcal{P}_2 \to \mathbf{M}_{22}$ be a linear transformation defined by

$$S(ax^2 + bx + c) = \begin{bmatrix} a+b & a+c \\ b-c & b+c \end{bmatrix} \text{ for all } ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathcal{P}_2.$$

Prove that S is one-to-one but not onto.

Proof.

By definition,

$$\ker(S) = \{ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathcal{P}_2 \mid a+b = 0, a+c = 0, b-c = 0, b+c = 0\}.$$

Suppose $p(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in \ker(S)$. This leads to a homogeneous system of four equations in three variables:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \big| & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \big| & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & \big| & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \big| & 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \cdots \to \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \big| & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \big| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \big| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \big| & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the unique solution is $a = b = c = 0$, $\ker(S) = \{\vec{0}\}$, and thus S is one-to-one.

Proof. (continued)

To show that S is not onto, show that im(S) $\neq \mathcal{P}_2$; i.e., find a matrix A $\in \mathbf{M}_{22}$ such that for every $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_2$, $S(p(x)) \neq A$. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and suppose $p(x) = ax^2 + bx + c \in \mathcal{P}_2$ is such that $S(p(x)) = A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a + b = 0 & \quad a + c = 1 \\ b - c = 0 & \quad b + c = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Solving this system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the system is inconsistent, there is no $p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_2$ so that $S(p(x)) = A$, and therefore S is not onto. ∎

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation. Prove that if T is one-to-one and $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is an independent subset of V, then $\{T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}$ is an independent subset of W.

Proof.

Let $\vec{0}_V$ and $\vec{0}_W$ denote the zero vectors of V and W, respectively. Suppose that

$$a_1 T(\vec{v}_1) + a_2 T(\vec{v}_2) + \cdots + a_k T(\vec{v}_k) = \vec{0}_W$$

for some $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Since linear transformations preserve linear combinations (addition and scalar multiplication),

$$T(a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k \vec{v}_k) = \vec{0}_W.$$

Now, since T is one-to-one, $\ker(T) = \{\vec{0}_V\}$, and thus

$$a_1 \vec{v}_1 + a_2 \vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k \vec{v}_k = \vec{0}_V.$$

However, $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is independent, and hence $a_1 = a_2 = \cdots = a_k = 0$. Therefore, $\{T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}$ is independent. ∎

Problem (Onto linear transformations preserve spanning sets)

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \rightarrow W$ a linear transformation. Prove that if T is onto and $V = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$, then

$$W = \text{span}\{T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}.$$

Proof.

Suppose that T is onto and let $\vec{w} \in W$. Then there exists $\vec{v} \in V$ such that $T(\vec{v}) = \vec{w}$. Since $V = \text{span}\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k\}$, there exist $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\vec{v} = a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k$. Since T is a linear transformation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{w} = T(\vec{v}) &= T(a_1\vec{v}_1 + a_2\vec{v}_2 + \cdots + a_k\vec{v}_k) \\
&= a_1T(\vec{v}_1) + a_2T(\vec{v}_2) + \cdots + a_kT(\vec{v}_k),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\vec{w} \in \text{span}\{T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}$, and thus

$$W \subseteq \text{span}\{T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k) \in W \implies \text{span}\{T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k)\} \subseteq W.$$

Therefore, $W = \text{span}\{T(\vec{v}_1), T(\vec{v}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{v}_k)\}$. ∎

Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix. How do we determine if $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is onto? How do we determine if $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is one-to-one?

Theorem

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix, and $T_A : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ the linear transformation induced by A.

1. T_A is onto if and only if rank $(A) = m$.
2. T_A is one-to-one if and only if rank $(A) = n$.

Proof. (sketch)

1. T_A is onto if and only if $\text{im}(T_A) = \mathbb{R}^m$. This is equivalent to $\text{col}(A) = \mathbb{R}^m$, which occurs if and only if $\dim(\text{col}(A)) = m$, i.e., rank $(A) = m$.

2. $\ker(T_A) = \text{null}(A)$, and $\text{null}(A) = \{\vec{0}\}$ if and only if $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has the unique solution $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. Thus and row echelon form of A has a leading one in every column, which occurs if and only if rank $(A) = n$. ■

The Dimension Theorem (Rank-Nullity Theorem)

Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix with rank r. Since $\text{im}(T_A) = \text{col}(A)$,

$$\dim(\text{im}(T_A)) = \text{rank }(A) = r.$$

We also know that $\ker(T_A) = \text{null}(A)$, and that $\dim(\text{null}(A)) = n - r$. Thus,

$$\dim(\text{im}(T_A)) + \dim(\ker(T_A)) = n = \dim \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem (Dimension Theorem (Rank-Nullity Theorem))

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation. If $\ker(T)$ and $\text{im}(T)$ are both finite dimensional, then V is finite dimensional, and

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\ker(T)) + \dim(\text{im}(T)).$$

Equivalently, $\dim(V) = \text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank }(T)$.

T

ker(T)

W

0

V

+

T

V

im(T)

W

||

V

Proof. (Outline)

Let $\vec{w} \in \mathrm{im}(T)$; then $\vec{w} = T(\vec{v})$ for some $\vec{v} \in V$. Suppose

$$\left\{ T(\vec{b}_1), T(\vec{b}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{b}_r) \right\}$$

is a basis of $\mathrm{im}(T)$, and that

$$\left\{ \vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2, \ldots, \vec{f}_k \right\}$$

is a basis of $\ker(T)$. We define

$$B = \left\{ \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \ldots, \vec{b}_r, \vec{f}_1, \vec{f}_2, \ldots, \vec{f}_k \right\}.$$

To prove that B is a basis of V, it remains to prove that B spans V and that B is linearly independent.

Since B is independent and spans V, B is a basis of V, implying V is finite dimensional (V is spanned by a finite set of vectors). Furthermore, $|B| = r + k$, so

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\mathrm{im}(T)) + \dim(\ker(T)).$$

1. It is not an assumption of the theorem that V is finite dimensional. Rather, it is a consequence of the assumption that both im(T) and ker(T) are finite dimensional.

2. As a consequence of the Dimension Theorem, if V is a finite dimensional vector space and either dim(ker(T)) or dim(im(T)) is known, then the other can be easily found.

Example

Let V and W be vector spaces and $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation. If V is finite dimensional, then it follows that

$$\dim(\ker(T)) \leq \dim(V) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(\operatorname{im}(T)) \leq \dim(V).$$

For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, recall that the linear transformation $E_a : \mathcal{P}_n \to \mathbb{R}$, the evaluation map at a, is defined as

$$E_a(p(x)) = p(a) \text{ for all } p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_n.$$

Prove that E_a is onto, and that

$$B = \{(x-a), (x-a)^2, (x-a)^3, \ldots, (x-a)^n\}$$

is a basis of $\ker(E_a)$.

Proof.

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and choose $p(x) = t \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Then $p(a) = t$, so $E_a(p(x)) = t$, i.e., E_a is onto.

By the Dimension Theorem,

$$n + 1 = \dim(\mathcal{P}_n) = \dim(\ker(E_a)) + \dim(\operatorname{im}(E_a)).$$

Since E_a is onto, $\dim(\operatorname{im}(E_a)) = \dim(\mathbb{R}) = 1$, and thus $\dim(\ker(E_a)) = n$.

It now suffices to find n independent polynomials in $\ker(E_a)$.

Note that $(x - a)^j \in \ker(E_a)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, so $B \subseteq \ker(E_a)$.

Furthermore, B is independent because the polynomials in B have distinct degrees.

Since $|B| = n = \dim(\ker(E_a))$, B spans $\ker(E_a)$.

Therefore, B is a basis of $\ker(E_a)$. ∎

Theorem

Let V and W be vector spaces, $T : V \to W$ a linear transformation, and

$$B = \left\{ \vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \ldots, \vec{b}_r, \vec{b}_{r+1}, \vec{b}_{r+2}, \ldots, \vec{b}_n \right\}$$

a basis of V with the property that $\left\{ \vec{b}_{r+1}, \vec{b}_{r+2}, \ldots, \vec{b}_n \right\}$ is a basis of
ker(T). Then

$$\left\{ T(\vec{b}_1), T(\vec{b}_2), \ldots, T(\vec{b}_r) \right\}$$

is a basis of im(T), and therefore $r = \text{rank } (T)$.

Remark (How is this useful?)

Suppose V and W are vector spaces and $T : V \to W$ is a linear
transformation. If you find a basis of ker(T), then this may be used to find
a basis of im(T): extend the basis of ker(T) to a basis of V; applying the
transformation T to each of the vectors that was added to the basis of
ker(T) produces a set of vectors that is a basis of im(T).

Problem

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and let $T : \mathbf{M}_{22} \to \mathbf{M}_{22}$ be a linear transformation defined by

$$T(X) = XA - AX \text{ for all } X \in \mathbf{M}_{22}.$$

Find a basis of $\ker(T)$ and a basis of $\text{im}(T)$.

Solution

First note that by the Dimension Theorem,

$$\dim(\ker(T)) + \dim(\text{im}(T)) = \dim(\mathbf{M}_{22}) = 4.$$

Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(X) &= AX - XA \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} c & d \\ a & b \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} b & a \\ d & c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c - b & d - a \\ a - d & b - c \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution (continued)

If $X \in \ker(T)$, then $T(X) = \vec{0}_{22}$ so

$$\begin{cases} c - b = 0 \\ d - a = 0 \\ a - d = 0 \\ b - c = 0 \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} a = s \\ b = t \\ c = t \\ d = s \end{cases} \quad \text{for } s, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore,

$$\ker(\mathbb{T}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} s & t \\ t & s \end{bmatrix} \;\middle|\; s, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let

$$B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

Since B is independent and spans $\ker(T)$, B_k is a basis of $\ker(T)$.

Solution (continued)

To find a basis of im(T), extend the basis of ker(T) to a basis of $\mathbf{M}_{22}$: here is one such basis

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$C = \left\{ T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

is a basis of im(T). ■