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$$
\text { 1. } X \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n, 1 / 2) \text {. }
$$

Let $\widetilde{\mu}$ be the median of some unknown continuous pdf $f_{Y}(y)$ :

$$
\mathbb{P}(Y \leq \widetilde{\mu})=\mathbb{P}(Y \geq \widetilde{\mu})=\frac{1}{2}
$$

- For a random sample of size $n$ is taken from $f_{y}(y)$, in order to test

$$
H_{0}: \widetilde{\mu}=\widetilde{\mu}_{0} \quad \text { vs } \quad H_{0}: \widetilde{\mu} \neq \widetilde{\mu}_{0}
$$

let

$$
X:=\text { the number of observations exceeding } \widetilde{\mu}_{0}
$$



1. $X \sim \operatorname{Binomial}(n, 1 / 2)$.
2. Moreover, if $n$ is large, by CLT,

$$
\frac{X-\mathbb{E}[X]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}}=\frac{X-\frac{n}{2}}{\sqrt{n / 4}} \stackrel{\text { aprox. }}{\sim} \quad N(0,1)
$$
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- When sample size $n$ is large:

Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ be a random sample of size $n$ from any continuous distribution having median $\tilde{\mu}$, where $n \geq 10$. Let $k$ denote the number of $y_{i}$ 's greater than $\tilde{\mu}_{0}$, and let $z=\frac{k-n / 2}{\sqrt{n / 4}}$.
a. To test $H_{0}: \tilde{\mu}=\tilde{\mu}_{0}$ versus $H_{1}: \tilde{\mu}>\tilde{\mu}_{0}$ at the $\alpha$ level of significance, reject $H_{0}$ if $z \geq z_{\alpha}$.
b. To test $H_{0}: \tilde{\mu}=\tilde{\mu}_{0}$ versus $H_{1}: \tilde{\mu}<\tilde{\mu}_{0}$ at the $\alpha$ level of significance, reject $H_{0}$ if $z \leq-z_{\alpha}$.
c. To test $H_{0}: \tilde{\mu}=\tilde{\mu}_{0}$ versus $H_{1}: \tilde{\mu} \neq \tilde{\mu}_{0}$ at the $\alpha$ level of significance, reject $H_{0}$ if $z$ is either $(1) \leq-z_{\alpha / 2}$ or $(2) \geq z_{\alpha / 2}$.

- When sample size $n$ is small: use the exact distribution of binomial distribution.
E.g. 1 In a healthy adults, the median pH for synovial fluid is 7.39 .
E.g. 1 In a healthy adults, the median pH for synovial fluid is 7.39. A random sample of $n=43$ is chosen and test

$$
H_{0}: \widetilde{\mu}=7.39 \quad \text { vs } \quad H_{0}: \widetilde{\mu} \neq 7.39, \quad \text { at } \alpha=0.10 .
$$

E.g. 1 In a healthy adults, the median pH for synovial fluid is 7.39.

A random sample of $n=43$ is chosen and test

$$
H_{0}: \widetilde{\mu}=7.39 \quad \text { vs } \quad H_{0}: \widetilde{\mu} \neq 7.39, \quad \text { at } \alpha=0.10
$$

| Subject | Synovial Fluid pH | Subject | Synovial Fluid pH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HW | 7.02 | BG | 7.34 |
| AD | 7.35 | GL | 7.22 |
| TK | 7.32 | BP | 7.32 |
| EP | 7.33 | NK | 7.40 |
| AF | 7.15 | LL | 6.99 |
| LW | 7.26 | KC | 7.10 |
| LT | 7.25 | FA | 7.30 |
| DR | 7.35 | ML | 7.21 |
| VU | 7.38 | CK | 7.33 |
| SP | 7.20 | LW | 7.28 |
| MM | 7.31 | ES | 7.35 |
| DF | 7.24 | DD | 7.24 |
| LM | 7.34 | SL | 7.36 |
| AW | 7.32 | RM | 7.09 |
| BB | 7.34 | AL | 7.32 |
| TL | 7.14 | BV | 6.95 |
| PM | 7.20 | WR | 7.35 |
| JG | 7.41 | HT | 7.36 |
| DH | 7.77 | ND | 6.60 |
| ER | 7.12 | SJ | 7.29 |
| DP | 7.45 | BA | 7.31 |
| FF | 7.28 |  |  |

Sol 1. We first count how many samples exceeding the median (i.e., obtain the value of $X$ )
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Hence, we have $k=4, n=43$, and since $n$ is large, we use the z test:

$$
z=\frac{4-43 / 2}{\sqrt{43 / 4}}=-5.34
$$

## Since the critical regions (two-sided test here) are



$$
(-\infty,-2.58) \cup(2.58, \infty),
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[^2]Hence, we have $k=4, n=43$, and since $n$ is large, we use the $z$ test:

$$
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$$
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## Sign test for paired data

E.g. A manufacturer produces two products, A and B . The manufacturer wishes to know if consumers prefer product B over product A .

A sample of 10 consumers are each given product A and product B , and asked which product they prefer:

| Preferences | Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| B | 8 |
| A | 1 |
| No preference | 1 |

Test at $\alpha=0.10$ that
$H_{0}$ : consumers do not prefer B over A vs.
$H_{1}$ : consumers do prefer B over A .

Sol. We first remove the ties. So that we have a random (paired-data) sample of size $n=9$. Under $H_{0}$, the consumers have no preference for $B$ over $A$. Hence,
may believe that consumers will choose $A$ or $B$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$
Hence, to get more extreme values in this setting would give the
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