

Math 362: Mathematical Statistics II

Le Chen

le.chen@emory.edu

Emory University
Atlanta, GA

Last updated on April 13, 2021

2021 Spring

Chapter 6. Hypothesis Testing

§ 6.1 Introduction

§ 6.2 The Decision Rule

§ 6.3 Testing Binomial Data – $H_0 : p = p_0$

§ 6.4 Type I and Type II Errors

§ 6.5 A Notion of Optimality: The Generalized Likelihood Ratio

Chapter 6. Hypothesis Testing

§ 6.1 Introduction

§ 6.2 The Decision Rule

§ 6.3 Testing Binomial Data – $H_0 : p = p_0$

§ 6.4 Type I and Type II Errors

§ 6.5 A Notion of Optimality: The Generalized Likelihood Ratio

Difficulties

Scalar parameter

Simple-vs-Composite test
 $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$

\Rightarrow

Vector parameter


Composite-vs-Composite test
 $H_0 : \theta \in \omega$ vs $H_1 : \theta \in \Omega \cap \omega^c$

E.g. Two normal populations $N(\mu_i, \sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.
 σ_i are known, μ_i unknown.

$$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2.$$

Equivalently,

$$H_0 : (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \omega \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : (\mu_1, \mu_2) \notin \omega.$$



- ▶ Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be a random sample of size n from $f_Y(y; \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$
- ▶ Let Ω be all possible values of the parameter vector $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$
- ▶ Let $\omega \subseteq \Omega$ be a subset of Ω .

▶ Test:

$$H_0 : \theta \in \omega \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \theta \in \Omega \setminus \omega.$$

▶ The **generalized likelihood ratio**, λ , is defined as

$$\lambda := \frac{\max_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \omega} L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)}{\max_{(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k) \in \Omega} L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)}$$

$$\lambda \in (0, 1]$$

λ close to **zero**
data NOT compatible with H_0
reject H_0

λ close to **one**
data compatible with H_0
accept H_0

- **Generalized likelihood ratio test (GLRT)**: Use the following critical region

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\lambda : \lambda \in (0, \lambda^*]\}$$

to reject H_0 with either α or y^* being determined through

$$\alpha = \mathbb{P} \left(0 < \Lambda \leq \lambda^* \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right).$$

Remarks:

1. Maximization over Ω instead of $\Omega \setminus \omega$ in denominator:

In practice, little effect on this change.

In theory, much easier/nicer: $L(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ is maximized over the whole space Ω by the max. likelihood estimates: $\Omega_e := (\theta_{e,1}, \dots, \theta_{e,k}) \in \Omega$.

2. Suppose the maximization over ω is achieved at $\omega_e \in \omega$.

3. Hence:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)}.$$

Remarks;

4. For simple-vs-composite test, $\omega = \{\omega_0\}$ consists only one point:

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_0)}{L(\Omega_e)}.$$

5. Working with Λ is hard since $f_\Lambda(\lambda|H_0)$ is hard to obtain.

If Λ is a (*monotonic*) *function* of some r.v. W , whose pdf is known.

Suggesting testing procedure

Test based on $\lambda \iff$ Test based on w .

E.g. 1 Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be a random sample of size n from the uniform pdf:
 $f_Y(y : \theta) = 1/\theta, y \in [0, \theta]$. Find the form of GLRT for

$$H_0 : \theta = \theta_0 \quad \text{v.s.} \quad H_1 : \theta < \theta_0 \quad \text{with given } \alpha.$$

Sol. 1) The null hypothesis is simple, and hence

$$L(\omega_e) = L(\theta_0) = \theta_0^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(y_i) = \theta_0^{-n} I_{[0, \theta_0]}(y_{max}).$$

2) The MLE for θ is y_{max} and hence,

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(y_{max}) = y_{max}^{-n} I_{[0, y_{max}]}(y_{max}) = y_{max}^{-n}.$$

3) Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = \left(\frac{y_{max}}{\theta_0} \right)^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(y_{max})$$

that is, the test statistic is

$$\Lambda = \left(\frac{Y_{max}}{\theta_0} \right)^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(Y_{max}).$$

4) α and critical value λ^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \mathbb{P}(0 < \Lambda \leq \lambda^* | H_0 \text{ is true}) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(\left[\frac{Y_{max}}{\theta_0} \right]^n I_{[0, \theta_0]}(Y_{max}) \leq \lambda^* \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left(Y_{max} \leq \theta_0 (\lambda^*)^{1/n} \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Λ suggests the test statistic Y_{max} :

Test based on $\lambda \iff$ Test based on y_{max}

5) Let's find the pdf of Y_{max} . The cdf of Y is $F_Y(y; \theta_0) = y/\theta_0$ for $y \in [0, \theta_0]$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{Y_{max}}(y; \theta_0) &= nF_Y(y; \theta_0)^{n-1} f_Y(y; \theta_0) \\ &= \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta_0^n}, \quad y \in [0, \theta_0]. \end{aligned}$$

6) Finally, by setting $y^* := \theta_0(\lambda^*)^{1/n}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \mathbb{P} \left(Y_{max} \leq y^* \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right) \\ &= \int_0^{y^*} \frac{ny^{n-1}}{\theta_0^n} dy \\ &= \frac{(y^*)^n}{\theta_0^n} \iff y^* = \theta_0 \alpha^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

7) Therefore, H_0 is rejected if

$$y_{max} \leq \theta_0 \alpha^{1/n}.$$

□

E.g. 2 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from the geometric distribution with parameter p .

Find a test statistic Λ for testing $H_0 : p = p_0$ versus $H_1 : p \neq p_0$.

Sol. Let \bar{X} and \bar{k} be the sample mean. Because the null hypothesis is simple,

$$L(\omega_e) = L(p_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - p_0)^{k_i - 1} p_0 = (1 - p_0)^{n\bar{k} - n} p_0^n,$$

which shows that \bar{k} is a sufficient estimator.

On the other hand, the MLE for the parameter p is $1/\bar{k}$. So

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(1/\bar{k}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\bar{k}}\right)^{k_i - 1} \frac{1}{\bar{k}} = \left(\frac{\bar{k} - 1}{\bar{k}}\right)^{n\bar{k} - n} \frac{1}{\bar{k}^n}.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = \left(\frac{\bar{k}(1 - p_0)}{\bar{k} - 1}\right)^{n\bar{k} - n} (p_0 \bar{k})^n$$

Finally, $\Lambda = \left(\frac{\bar{X}(1 - p_0)}{\bar{X} - 1}\right)^{n\bar{X} - n} (p_0 \bar{X})^n$. □

E.g. 3 Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be a random sample from the exponential distribution with parameter λ .

Find a test statistic V for testing $H_0 : \lambda = \lambda_0$ versus $H_1 : \lambda \neq \lambda_0$.

Sol. Since the null hypothesis is simple,

$$L(\omega_e) = L(\lambda_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_0 e^{-\lambda_0 Y_i} = \lambda_0^n e^{-\lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}$$

Let $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$, which is a sufficient estimator.

On the other hand, the MLE for λ is $1/\bar{y} = n/z$:

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(1/\bar{y}) = (n/z)^n e^{-n}.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = z^n n^{-n} \lambda_0^n e^{-\lambda_0 z + n}$$


Finally, $\Lambda = Z^n n^{-n} \lambda_0^n e^{-\lambda_0 Z + n}$ or $V = Z^n e^{-\lambda_0 Z}$. □

The critical region in terms of V should be:

$$\begin{aligned} 0.05 = \alpha &= \mathbb{P} \left(V \in (0, y^*] \mid H_0 \text{ is true} \right) \\ &= \int_0^{y^*} f_V(v) dv \end{aligned}$$

However, it is not easy to find the exact distribution of V .

One can also make the inference based on the test statistic Z ...



This suggests that the critical region in terms of z should be of the form:

$$(0, c_1) \cup (c_2, \infty)$$

For convenience, we put $\alpha/2$ mass on each tails of the density of Z :

Find c_1 and c_2 such that

$$\int_0^{c_1} f_Z(z) dz = \int_{c_2}^{\infty} f_Z(z) dz = \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

	using V	using Z
Critical region	$(0, v^*]$	$(0, z_1] \cup [z_2, \infty)$
pdf	hard to obtain	Gamma (n, λ)

E.g. 4 Let Y_1, \dots, Y_n be a random sample from $N(\mu, 1)$.

Find a test statistic Λ for testing $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ versus $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$.

Sol. Since the null hypothesis is simple,

$$L(\omega_e) = L(\mu_0) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - \mu_0)^2}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, the MLE for μ is \bar{y} :

$$L(\Omega_e) = L(\bar{y}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(y_i - \bar{y})^2}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\omega_e)}{L(\Omega_e)} = \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_0)^2 - (y_i - \bar{y})^2}{2}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{n(\bar{y} - \mu_0)^2}{2}\right).$$

$$\text{Finally, } \Lambda = \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2} (\bar{Y} - \mu_0)^2\right) \quad \text{or} \quad V = \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_0}{1/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1) \quad \square$$